

KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321)  
United States Attorney

EUMI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722)  
Chief, Criminal Division

ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441)  
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7210  
Fax: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. 3 05 <sup>70926 MAG</sup> <del>70650</del>
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER AND
	)	STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE
v.	)	FROM DECEMBER 16, 2005 TO
	)	JANUARY 5, 2006 AND EXCLUDING
MEHERDAD NAMIRANIAN,	)	TIME FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
	)	CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
Defendant.	)	3161(h)(8)(A)) AND WAIVING TIME
	)	LIMITS UNDER RULE 5.1

With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters this order scheduling an arraignment or preliminary hearing date of January 5, 2006 at 9:30A.M. before the duty magistrate judge, and documenting the defendant's waiver of the preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), from December 16, 2005 to January 5, 2006. The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:

1. The defendant has been released on bond.
2. The defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) to provide continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
3. The defendant waives the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for

preliminary hearing.

4. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the case during the normal 20-day timeline established in Rule 5.1.

5. The Court finds that, taking into the account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from December 16, 2005 to January 5, 2006, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

6. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a preliminary hearing date before the duty magistrate judge on January 5, 2006, at 9:30A.M., and (2) orders that the period from December 16, 2005 to January 5, 2006 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: December 15, 2005

/s  
ED SWANSON  
Attorney for Defendant

DATED: December 15, 2005

/s  
ROBERT DAVID REES  
Assistant United States Attorney

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 16, 2005

HON. ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
United States Magistrate Judge

